AGATHIDIODES PORTEVIN, NEW SYNONYM OF STETHOLIODES FALL (COLEOPTERA: LEIODIDAE: ANISOTOMINI)*

By Alfred F. Newton, Jr. Museum of Comparative Zoology Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass. 02138

Examination of type material of many obscure genera of Leiodidae for a work in preparation on the suprageneric classification of the family has revealed a new generic synonymy in the tribe Anisotomini (=Agathidiini).

Stetholiodes Fall, described for a single species S. laticollis Fall from Indiana, USA (Fall 1910), has recently been redescribed by Wheeler (1981) who discussed the close relationship of the genus to Agathidium Panzer.

The genus Agathodes Portevin was described for a single species A. striatipenne Portevin from Kashmir, India (Portevin 1926). Portevin later (1944) proposed the new name Agathidiodes to replace Agathodes Portevin 1926 (not Guénée 1854). He considered Agathidiodes to be closely related to Agathidium.

Stetholiodes and Agathidiodes are each known only from the holotype male of the type species. Direct comparison of these two specimens (examined dry with a dissecting microscope and on temporary slides in lactophenol with a compound microscope) shows that the two species are extremely similar in all characteristics that have been used at the generic and subgeneric level in Anisotomini. I therefore propose the following synonymy:

Stetholiodes Fall

- = Agathidiodes Portevin, NEW SYNONYMY
- = Agathodes Portevin (not Guénée)

The two included species, Stetholiodes laticollis Fall and S. striatipennis (Portevin) (NEW COMBINATION), show slight differences in shape, sculpture, male secondary sexual characters and the shape of

^{*}Manuscript received by the editor May 12, 1982

the median lobe and parameres of the aedeagus. They are thus evidently not conspecific. In *S. striatipennis* the basal three tarsomeres of the protarsus and basal two tarsomeres of the mesotarsus are dilated and bear tenent setae, while in *S. laticollis* the basal three tarsomeres of both legs are similarly modified. It should be noted that Portevin (1926) erred in describing this character for *S. striatipennis* as well as in attributing a 5-5-5 tarsal formula to this species (tarsi are 5-5-4 segmented in *S. striatipennis* and *S. laticollis*).

The genus Stetholiodes has been well characterized by Wheeler (1981), whose description is virtually unmodified by the addition of S. striatipennis. I would add that both Stetholiodes species lack an epistomal suture and have a supraocular carina and groove that separate the side of the head (including the eyes) from the dorsum. This last character is found in most or all Agathidium but is absent in Anisotoma and allied genera of Anisotomini. I agree with Wheeler that Stetholiodes is closely allied to, and possibly congeneric with, Agathidium. At present Stetholiodes appears to differ from Agathidium only in having nine distinct punctate elytral striae, rather than fewer or no striae, and in lacking an epistomal suture. Further study of the large and diverse genus Agathidium is needed to clarify the status of Stetholiodes.

I thank Mlle. Nicole Berti of the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, for loan of the holotype of *Agathidiodes striatipenne*; and Fernando Angelini, Hermann Daffner, Stewart B. Peck and my wife, Margaret K. Thayer, for commenting on the manuscript. Dr. Angelini has noted a recent collection of two males of *S. striatipennis* from Aru, Kashmir, October 1977, leg. H. Franz, now in his collection and that of Dr. Franz.

LITERATURE CITED

FALL, H. C.

1910. New Silphidae of the tribe Anisotomini. Can. Ent. 42: 4-8. PORTEVIN. G.

1926. Les Liodidae de l'Inde. Encycl. Ent. (B), Coleoptera 1: 75-83.

1944. Liodides nouveaux. Rev. Franç. Ent. 10: 168-169.

WHEELER, Q. D.

1981. Diagnosis and phylogenetic relationships of the monotypic genus Stetholiodes (Coleoptera: Leiodidae). Ohio J. Sci. 81: 165-168.